

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 10/01/2003

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE CONFIRMATION NO. 14013-33US 03/07/2000 9101 09/520,687 John Dung-Quang Ly 10/01/2003 27728 7590 LAW OFFICES OF IMAM EXAMINER 111 N. MARKET STREET, SUITE 1010 WON, YOUNG N SAN JOSE, CA 95113 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2155

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,		Application No.	,	Applicant(s)		21
	.	09/520,687		LY, JOHN DUNG	-QUANG	d
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit		
		Young N Won		2155	L	<u></u>
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports or Reply	pears on the cover	sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress	
THE - External control	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLING MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reploperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for the triply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, hower y within the statutory mini will apply and will expire S e, cause the application to	ver, may a reply be tim mum of thirty (30) days IIX (6) MONTHS from become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this co O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication	ı.
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 l</u>	<u> March 2000</u> .				
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	nis action is non-fir	nal.			
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under				ie merits i	s
•	tion of Claims					
4)	Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are pending in the application		4ian			
€7□	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from considera	เนอก.			
·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are rejected.					
7)∐	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	ur alaction requires	nont .			
Applicat	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	i election requirer	nent.			
· · _	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept	pted or b) objecte	d to by the Exar	niner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to th		_			
11)□	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)⊟ approve	d b)⊡ disappro	ved by the Examin	er.	
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	ply to this Office acti	on.			
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).		
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been recei	ved.			
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been recei	ved in Application	on No		
* (3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 1	7.2(a)).		Stage	
14) 🔲 ,	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	ic priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisiona	l application	on).
	a) \square The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest				• •	·
Attachmer		,,				
2) 🔲 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>2</u>	5) 🔲		(PTO-413) Paper Not Patent Application (PT		
S. Patent and	Trademark Office					

Art Unit: 2155

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-25 have been examined and are pending with this action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

2. Claims 1-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kodimer et al. (US 6003078A).

<u>INDEPENDENT:</u>

Art Unit: 2155

As per claim 1, Kodimer teaches of a network device assembly (see Fig.2) employed in a communication system (see Fig.1 and col.2, lines 17-19) comprising: a plurality of network devices (see Fig.1) able to communicate network information (see abstract) through a packet switching to a technical support center (see col.1, lines 56-57: "service organization" and col.2, lines 2-5) operated by technical support staff (see col.13, lines 26-28: "network administrator"), each of the plurality of network devices including one or more hardware subsystems and one or more software subsystems and for monitoring the status of the hardware and software subsystems (inherency) included therein so that when a problem occurs with respect to one or more of the hardware and software subsystems of a particular one of the plurality of the network devices, the particular network device sends a first message to the technical support center notifying the technical support center of the problem (see abstract and col.1, line 63 to col.2, line 5).

As per claim 12, Kodimer teaches a network device (see Fig.2) for use in communication with a technical support center (see col.1, lines 56-57: "service organization" and col.2, lines 2-5) operated by a technical support staff (see col.13, lines 26-28: "network administrator"), the technical support center being in communication with the network device (see Fig.1) through a packet switching network (see col.2, lines 17-19 and col.3, line 65 to col.4, line 2), comprising: one or more hardware subsystems (see Fig.4); one or more software subsystems (inherency; see col.1, lines 28-32; and col.12, lines 10-12); and means for monitoring the status of the hardware and software subsystems so that when a problem occurs with respect to one or more of the hardware

Art Unit: 2155

and software subsystems of the network device, the network device transmits a first message to the technical support center to notify the technical support center of the problem (see abstract and col.1, line 63 to col.2, line 5).

As per claims 24 and 25, Kodimer teaches a method and a computer readable medium having stored therein computer readable program code comprising instructions (see Fig.3 and col.4, line 59), for detecting a problem in a network device (see abstract; Fig.19; and col.12, lines 34-36) comprising: during the operation of the network device (see abstract), able to communicate network information through a packet switching network to a technical support center (see col.1, lines 56-57: "service organization" and col.2, lines 2-5) being operated by a technical support staff (see col.13, lines 26-28: "network administrator"), the network device including one or more hardware subsystems (see Fig.4) and one or more software subsystems, monitoring the status of the hardware and software subsystems (inherency; see col.1, lines 28-32; and col.12, lines 10-12); detecting the occurrence of a problem associated with one or more of the hardware and software subsystems of the network device (see abstract; Fig.19; and col.12, lines 34-36); and sending a first message to the technical support center for notification of the problem so that the technical support staff is able to diagnose the problem without interruption to the operation of the network device (see abstract and col.1, line 63 to col.2, line 5).

<u>DEPENDENT:</u>

As per claims 2 and 14, Kodimer further teaches wherein the first message is in the form of an email message (see col.13, lines 26-28).

Art Unit: 2155

As per claims 3 and 15, Kodimer further teaches wherein the first message is in the form of a fax transmission (see col.1, lines 16-19).

As per claims 4 and 16, Kodimer further teaches wherein the first message is in the form of a page (see Fig.16).

As per claim 5, Kodimer further teaches including a processor for executing embedded software for monitoring the status of the hardware and software subsystems (see Fig.2, #22).

As per claims 6 and 17, Kodimer further teaches wherein the packet switching network is the Internet (see col.1, lines 54-58).

As per claim 7. Kodimer further teaches of including a computer register for indicating the status of the hardware and software subsystems immediately before the problem (see col.12, lines 59-62).

As per claim 8, Kodimer further teaches wherein the computer register includes error messages (see col.1, line 15) for identifying a particular hardware or software subsystem failure (see Fig.19 and col.12, lines 34-40).

As per claims 9 and 19, Kodimer further teaches wherein each of the plurality of network devices includes a remote diagnostic embedded process subsystem (see Fig.17), a hardware health status monitor subsystem and a software health status monitor subsystem, the remote diagnostic embedded process subsystem for communicating with the hardware health status monitor subsystem and a software health status monitor subsystem and for collecting status information provided by the software health status monitor subsystem and the hardware health status monitor

Art Unit: 2155

subsystem and for detecting problems encountered by the hardware and software subsystems (see col.1, line 63 to col.2, line 5).

As per claims 10 and 22, Kodimer further teaches wherein the plurality of network devices is responsive to a second message generated by the technical support center for requesting further information regarding the problem (see Fig.18. steps S1801-S1806 and col.14, lines 3-5).

As per claims 11 and 18, Kodimer further teaches wherein at least one of the plurality of network devices is an access server (see abstract: "network peripheral device").

As per claim 13, Kodimer further teaches wherein the technical support staff is able to diagnose the problem without interruption to the operation of the network device (see Fig.16, #184; Fig.17; and col.10, lines 25-31).

As per claim 20, Kodimer further teaches wherein the remote diagnostic embedded process subsystem detects an error message (see col.1, line 15) prior to the transmission of the first message (see Fig.19 and col.12, lines 34-40).

As per claim 21, Kodimer further teaches wherein the remote diagnostic embedded process subsystem detects certain criteria (see col.1, lines 47-53) regarding the status of the network device prior to the transmission of the first message (see Fig.19 and col.12, lines 34-40).

As per claim 23, Kodimer further teaches wherein the network device is in communication with a user and further wherein the technical support center includes an email server (inherency) coupled to a command-formatter for communicating with a

Page 7

user interface, the email server for collecting the first message (see col.13, lines 26-28), the command-formatter for translating the first message into a format that is understandable to the user and the user interface for displaying information communicated between the network device and the user (see Fig.12; col.5, lines 12-22; col.6, line 61 to col.7, line 2; and col.10, lines 64-67).

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Young N Won whose telephone number is 703-605-4241. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th: 8AM-6PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hosain T Alam can be reached on 703-308-6662. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

Young N Won

September 23, 2003

HOSAIN ALAM
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER